

## REMARKS

In this reply claims 1, 7, 11, and 22 have been amended, and claims 27 and 28 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

### Claim Objections

Claims 27 and 28 were objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c) as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. To further prosecution, claims 27 and 28 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

### 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 11, 13, 16, and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2) as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 has been amended consistent with the Office's remarks.

### 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 16, 18-23, 27, and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,937,160 to Davis et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,405,245 to Burson and further in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0028608 to Patterson. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 1 and 7 have been amended to recite determining that the named party has failed to reply to the electronic message, and transmitting the copy of the content on the web site to the named party as a reminder electronic message. Davis discloses receiving an update for content of a website through an email message. Burson discloses a system and method for automated access to personal information associated with an end user. Patterson discloses delivering electronic content, which includes providing instructions that cause a computer to collect information including an e-mail address and transmitting the collected information to a receiving computer. Thus, Davis in view of Burson and further in view of Patterson fails to disclose determining that the named party has failed to reply to the electronic message, and transmitting the copy of the content on the web site to the named party as a

reminder electronic message. Davis in view of Burson and further in view of Patterson also fails to disclose each and every element of claims 3, 4, 6, 10, and 18-21 at least based on their dependency from claim 1 or 7. Moreover, these dependent claims recite additional novel features. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, and 18-21 be withdrawn.

Independent claim 11 has been amended to recite a server configured to select a random portion of the content residing on the web hosting server, to cause a copy of the random portion of the content residing on the web hosting server to be submitted to the named party as an e-mail attachment in an electronic message, to determine that the named party has failed to reply to the electronic message, and to transmit the copy of the content on the web site to the named party as a reminder electronic message. Davis discloses receiving an update for content of a website through an email message. Burson discloses a system and method for automated access to personal information associated with an end user. Patterson discloses delivering electronic content, which includes providing instructions that cause a computer to collect information including an e-mail address and transmitting the collected information to a receiving computer. Thus, Davis in view of Burson and further in view of Patterson fails to disclose a server configured to select a random portion of the content residing on the web hosting server, to cause a copy of the random portion of the content residing on the web hosting server to be submitted to the named party as an e-mail attachment in an electronic message, to determine that the named party has failed to reply to the electronic message, and to transmit the copy of the content on the web site to the named party as a reminder electronic message. Davis in view of Burson and further in view of Patterson also fails to disclose each and every element of claims 13, 16, 22, and 23 at least based on their dependency from claim 11. Moreover, these dependent claims recite additional novel features. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 11, 13, 16, 22, and 23 be withdrawn.

**REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance, and an early indication of the same is respectfully requested. Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned with any remaining questions or comments. Applicant does not believe that additional fees are due, but if the Commissioner believes additional fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-3797.

Respectfully submitted,

/blake I jansen/  
Blake L. Jansen; Reg. No. 59,060  
LARSON NEWMAN & ABEL, LLP  
5914 West Courtyard Dr., Suite 200  
Austin, Texas 78730  
(512) 439-7100 (phone)  
(512) 439-7199 (fax)

02/10/2010  
Date